Comment Set C.88: Andria Witmer

Andria Witmer
P. O. Box 55366
Santa Clarita, CA 91385

September 14, 2006

Aspen Environmental Group
30423 Canwood Street, Suite 215
Agoura Hills, CA 91301-4316

Dear Aspen Environmental Group:

I am writing in regard to the proposed and alternative routes for the Southern California Edison power lines to run from Tehachapi to Los Angeles which is part of an application to the State of California Public Utilities Commission.

I have reviewed the route proposed by Southern California Edison and the 5 alternatives. I support Alternative 4, which is the route proposed by Edison except for where it circumvents a movie ranch.

Alternative 1 would have too many detrimental affects on wildlife, soils and recreational use of the Angeles National Forest. Alternative 2, also has detrimental affects, including making the air approach to Bouquet Reservoir nearly impossible and dangerous for obtaining water from fixed wing aircraft for firefighting efforts. Alternatives 3 and 5 also have detrimental affects on residences and businesses alike which are unacceptable. C.88-1

Thank you for considering my opinion.

Sincerely,

Andria Witmer

Andria Witmer

Response to Comment Set C.88: Andria Witmer

C.88-1 Thank you for submitting your preference for Alternative 4 as well as your concerns regarding Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 5. Your comments will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.